Written Exam Economics summer 2016

Microeconomics III

Date: 18 August 2016

(2-hour closed book exam)

Please note that the language used in your exam paper must be English.

This exam consists of 3 pages in total

PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS. PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR ANSWERS.

1. (a) Denote the normal-form game below by G. Solve G by iterated elimination of strictly dominated strategies. Explain briefly each step (1 sentence).

		Player 2		
		t_1	t_2	t_3
Player 1	s_1	2, 6	3, 6	3,2
	s_2	1, 4	4, 4	0,5
	s_3	3, 2	5, 1	1,1
	s_4	4,4	2,1	4.0

- (b) Suppose we repeat G twice. Denote the resulting game by G(2). Find the set of Subgame-perfect Nash Equilibria of G(2). Be careful to write out the equilibrium strategies.
- (c) How would we have to modify the payoffs in G to make it possible that there exists a Subgame-perfect Nash Equilibrium in which an action profile which is *not* a stagegame NE is played in one of the stages in G(2)? Explain this intuitively or give an example of a change in the payoffs.
- 2. Consider the game below, where sender observes nature's choice of t, and chooses the message A or B. Receiver does not observe t, but observes player 1's choice of message and chooses a or b.



- (a) Is this a cheap talk game? Is it a game of coordination or conflict? Explain your answers.
- (b) Find a separating Perfect Bayesian Equilibrium.
- (c) Find a pooling Perfect Bayesian Equilibrium in which the sender always sends the message A.
- (d) Compare the payoffs in the two equilibria you found in parts (b) and (c): does one equilibrium Pareto dominate the other?
- (e) Do the equilibria satisfy SR6 (equilibrium domination)?

3. Consider a first-price sealed bid auction with two bidders, who have valuations v_1 and v_2 , respectively. For i = 1, 2, these values are distributed independently and uniformly with

$$v_i \sim u(2,4).$$

Thus, the values are *private*.

Show that there is a symmetric Bayesian Nash Equilibrium in linear strategies: $b_i(v_i) = cv_i + d$, i = 1, 2. Find c and d.

4. Consider the following version of Spence's education signaling model, where a firm is hiring a worker. The worker is characterized by his type θ , which measures his ability. There are two worker types: $\theta \in \{\theta_L, \theta_H\}$. Nature chooses the worker's type, with $\mathbb{P}(\theta = \theta_H) = p$ and $\mathbb{P}(\theta = \theta_L) = 1 - p$. The worker observes his own type, but the firm does not observe the worker's type.

The worker can choose his level of education: $e \in \mathbb{R}^+$. The cost to him of acquiring this education is

$$c_{\theta}(e) = 2 \cdot \frac{e^2}{\theta}$$

Education is observed by the firm, who then forms beliefs about the worker's type: $\mu(\theta|e)$. We assume that the marginal productivity of a worker is equal to his ability, and that the company is in competition such that it pays the expected marginal productivity:

$$w(e) = \mathbb{E}(\theta|e).$$

Thus, the payoff to a worker conditional on his type and education is

$$u_{\theta}(e) = w(e) - c_{\theta}(e).$$

Suppose for this exercise that $\theta_H = 6$ and $\theta_L = 2$.

- (a) Show that there is a separating pure strategy Perfect Bayesian Equilibrium where the low-ability worker chooses $e_L^* = 0$ and the high-ability worker chooses $e_H^* = 2$. You can use the off-equilibrium-path beliefs $\mu(\theta_H|e) = 0$ if $e \notin \{e_L^*, e_H^*\}$.
- (b) Find a *pooling* pure strategy Perfect Bayesian Equilibrium, where both worker types choose the same education level $e_p > 0$. What is the value of e_p in this pooling equilibrium? Give some intuition as to whether or not this pooling equilibrium is unique.